

Citation: Hart, J. E. (2016) A REMAIN vote in Britain's 2016 EU referendum is strictly for doormats. <http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/more.html>, More 31

SUMMARY

This illustrated essay describes how Britain has a choice in regard to Continental Europe between appeasement and standing up for freedom, just as in the 1930s.

The leaders of the REMAIN campaign are accused of betraying Britain into the power of foreigners, in the form of the EU, which is an expression of old-fashioned power-grabbing anti-democratic top-down meganationalism and thus an inappropriate vehicle for internationalist ideals of empowering people and bringing them together.

The EU is run by a disseminated dictatorship of unelected Eurocrats, who by indirection and stealth are creating a country called 'Europe', complete with its own army. Their labyrinthine bureaucracy is secretive, unfathomable and unreformable.

A REMAIN vote is strictly for doormats. Do potential REMAINERS want to be ruled by foreigners and pay through the nose to be bossed about by them into the bargain?



Economic alarmism and even workers' rights are being used to distract Britons from a demeaning loss of control over their own affairs.

There is a long history of British Europhile evasion on the subject of political union and denigration of Eurosceptics. The Europhiles were at it during the 1975 referendum, which confirmed Britain's membership of the EEC; the same features were present in the period of Britain's disastrous flirtation with the Exchange Rate Mechanism in 1990-2; and once more in 1999 when Europhiles failed to convince the British to ditch the pound in favour of the euro, sparing the nation an economic calamity. The same people who promoted the euro in 1999 are now campaigning for Britain to stay in the EU. They are having another go at betraying us. Thwart them.

* * * * *

“You can be somebody else's creature and that can be the lot of your country too: that's membership of the EU. That scales down to a REMAIN vote. On the other hand you can be your own man or woman and you will want that for your country as well: that's self-rule. That scales up to a LEAVE vote.” JH

CVpedia More

A repository of essays (<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/more.html>), independently authored, offering supplementary information and views to those available in The National CVpedia of Britain (<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/natcvpedia.html>), which database supports The National CV of Britain (<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/>).

More 31

1st June 2016

A REMAIN vote in Britain's 2016 EU referendum is strictly for doormats

By **John Hart**

The REMAIN leaders of the 23rd June 2016 EU IN/OUT referendum are betraying Britain into the power of foreigners. The betrayal extends to idealists young and old among their own supporters believing in the vision of 'one world at peace pulling together', who are misconceiving the EU as an appropriate vehicle for internationalist aspiration when it is in reality an expression of old-fashioned top-down power-grabbing meganationalism (Appendix I). Disenchanted with Westminster, these idealists are not perceiving Brussels for what it is, as it can be likened to a tumour: invasive, out of control, self-serving, sinister¹. What is being grown by the EU, to enjoy our lurid metaphor, is a cancerously undemocratic Superstate called 'Europe', which is already metastasizing into every aspect of British life. The Brussels tumour will kill Old Albion, and the islanders, run and overrun by foreigners, will not like the dictatorial dispensation that follows.

A question that Britons should answer in their hearts in the context of the referendum is this:

Do you want to be ruled by foreigners and pay through the nose to be bossed about by them into the bargain?

This is our 1930s, but instead of Hitler's Germany we have to deal with a Franco-German dominated yet unfathomable EU threatening our ancient democracy and liberties, both won with the sweat and blood of our ancestors, together with the intermingled menace of a new Dark Age of Islam and the superadded factor of a pugnacious Russia.

Among European unifiers, the Romans succeeded in subjugating lowland Britain in the first century AD; Philip II's Spanish Armada failed calamitously in 1588 in its more limited goal of crushing Elizabeth I's England; the Duke of Marlborough in the early 1700s successfully snuffed out the megalomaniac European ambitions of Louis XIV; Napoleon and Hitler were thwarted also in their turn; but the EU has so far succeeded in subduing us, mostly by stealth. 'Countries' don't exist in the EU, only 28 'Member States'. 'England' as a unitary entity has already disappeared from EU maps, to be replaced by regions. Our laws are increasingly trumped by those of Europe.

This is a time when we are celebrating Queen Elizabeth II's 90th birthday. So, yes, to Her Majesty joy, but also commiserations.

In her 1953 Coronation Oath, a key element in our Constitution, Elizabeth II pledged to govern according to our laws and customs. By taking us into what was then the

European Economic Community in the 1970s Tory Prime Minister Edward Heath and a generation of deceitful Europhile politicians made Her Majesty an oath breaker². They knew perfectly well that the project's ultimate aim was to create a United States of Europe, but sold it instead to the nation as our joining a mere trading bloc. The present author was one of those duped by this subterfuge.



'Traitor' = a betrayer, in this case of a country². **'Quisling'** = a traitor collaborating with an invader. **'Founding Fixers/CIA'**³. **'Iron Duke'** = Duke of Wellington (defeated Napoleon at Battle of Waterloo, 1815).

On the face of it for ordinary people a REMAIN vote in June 2016 to annihilate our national autonomy and be ruled by foreigners in the cause of 'more Europe' is strictly for doormats. Deeper analysis doesn't change this verdict.

Those who would vote REMAIN are for example expressing a preference for (expensive) government by unelected EU Commissioners in Brussels over our own (inexpensive) elected MPs in Parliament, who at least we can boot out at election time; they are letting the time-honoured limited detention protection of habeas corpus be superseded by the arbitrary detention periods associated with the European Arrest Warrant, one day to be served by an EU-wide police force, doubtless backed up if needs be by a common EU militia; and they are acquiescing in permitting our age-old common law tradition, which wisely builds on itself through court precedents in jury trials, be subsumed to the rules-based civil code of Napoleon, administered by magistrates, with the European Court of Justice having the last

word. The Corsican tyrant must be laughing in his mausoleum at the civil law item, two hundred years on from his defeat at Waterloo.

As in Britain's 1975 referendum, so now in 2016: duplicity and evasion from Europhiles. The ultimate aim of the EU remains political union. The days of nation states like Britain are supposed to be numbered, allegedly to prevent wars of nationalism (but see Appendix I). Do we hear of this from British EU enthusiasts? Mostly not. Instead, apart from learning of the fearsome economic consequences of leaving, we hear of opt-outs from both the borderless travel area and the currency union. It is a picture of Britain half in and half out, as against the Brexiteer's vision of wholly out. It is a fraud on the public not to disclose fully the political nature of the EU and a further fraud that we can exempt ourselves from 'ever closer union' if we remain in the EU. Even if we are rowing upstream close to the riverbank against a weaker current we are still rowing upstream – and the likelihood is that our abject acquiescence in this aberrant direction of travel will likely give heart to those in the other boats to row more strenuously. The one thing REMAINERS won't get is the status quo.

Brexiteers would have us row downstream at our own pace, in a global pageant of the world's free nations.

The word to describe duplicitously subjecting your country to the control of foreigners is TREASON.

There is only one place for Prime Minister Cameron and the leader of the opposition, Jeremy Corbyn – 40 years a Eurosceptic, yet newly minted as a Europhile when Labour party unity demanded it – and the other leaders of the REMAIN campaign and that is the Tower of London. Given the unlikelihood of prosecution, however, the place could at least be provided with a ballot box.



Tower of London Polling Station, REMAIN entrance. Shown arriving are Tory leader David 'Quisling' Cameron, left, and Labour leader Jeremy 'Turncoat' Corbyn⁴.

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

Europhiles have plenty of 'form', as they say in the constabulary.

The greatest indictment of Britain's treacherously Europhilic political class, media (e.g. BBC, FT) and Establishment generally (e.g. CBI) has been penned by Peter Osborne & Frances Weaver². They recount in *Guilty Men* (2011) the process by which in 1999 the potentially apocalyptic error of surrendering the pound in favour of the euro was – wait for it – *not* made. Their pamphlet is dedicated to

'...all those men and women who worked with clear mind and steady purpose to keep Britain out of the Eurozone and thereby salvage our national independence, pride, and prosperity – only to be insulted and derided as cranks, little Englanders, buffoons, racists, maniacs, extremists and xenophobes.'

Potential REMAIN voters might like to dip into *Guilty Men* and reflect on half a century's despicable history of misrepresentation and denigration aimed at Eurosceptics by Europhiles.

The pamphlet's Foreword by Peter Jay describes

'...the pathology of mind, a kind of group dementia, which progressively blinded those who should – and could – have known better to the nature of the betrayal they were perpetrating.'

The would-be pound-yielders 'who were mistaken on the greatest economic issue of our age' (*Guilty Men*, p64) included Tony Blair, Michael Hessestine, Peter Mandelson, Ken Clarke, Nick Clegg, Ken Livingstone, Neil Kinnock, Chris Patten and David Milliband. Bear that in mind when these people pop up in the media telling you to stay in the EU.

If we had gone into the Eurozone Britain would now be an economic basket case and the Europhile argument for staying in would be impossible to sustain. Get that: it is because the Europhiles were thwarted in 1999 that they can have another go at betraying us in 2016.



Norway had a referendum in 1994 on whether to join the EU. The Norwegian people said No against the clamorous wishes of their Europhile political elite and against a background of economic doomsaying by all and sundry on an epic scale. It was the Establishment versus the People. Sound familiar? In the years since, Norway has thriven economically more than their EU neighbour Sweden. And while we are on this subject let the record be set straight in regard to one matter in particular: Norway does not pay to access the EU's Single Market, not a single penny⁵.

But that is Norway. What of the economic consequences of Brexit? The first thing to be said is that the referendum vote is about the nobility of self-rule, not trade⁶. The second thing is that those predicting doom – the Treasury (which took us into the Exchange Rate Mechanism in 1990, remember, only for us to tumble out in 1992), IMF, OECD, Bank of England, large sections of the media – have truly lamentable records as forecasters and in some cases dubious motives for involving themselves in the debate. The whole lot of them would have had Britain in the Eurozone in 1999. Just like the Norwegians, Britons will be better off outside the EU than in⁷.

Yet if Brexit brings destitution and we have to resort to cannibalism, might it be suggested that we tuck in first to the treacherous leaders of the REMAIN campaign?

The deep mystery is why one of the world's proudest democratic nations has allowed itself to slip into such ignominy and impotence. And an even bigger mystery in 2016 is why Britain's Establishment overwhelmingly wants us to remain in this craven state by voting REMAIN on 23rd June. The EU does not merely have a democratic deficit and is not merely undemocratic: it is anti-democratic¹. The Eurocrats constitute a disseminated dictatorship within a labyrinthine Kafkaesque bureaucracy. Will each Briton be given manacles after an IN vote?

Europhile treachery goes back a long way.

When Julius Caesar invaded Britain in 54 BC he was helped to a measure of military success before a swift departure by a prominent Briton who earned himself the soubriquet Black Traitor⁸. Perhaps the greatest traitor ever was Queen Cartismandua of the Brigantes tribe, who in AD 51 handed over to the occupying Romans the British resistance leader, Caractacus⁹. The Tower of London has seen many occupants, notably Guy Fawkes. Traitors in the modern era include the broadcaster for the Nazis Lord 'Haw-Haw' in World War II and the Cambridge Five ring of spies in that period and into the Cold War between the West and the Soviet Union.

Yet never has Britain had a political class so comprised of betrayers (Note 2 & Appendix II), for which there is no hiding place however in these information-rich times (Appendix III). To subordinate Britain to the EU required parliament to abdicate its sovereign position.

'This is an Act of Treason under the 1351 Treason Act, a Praemunire under the 1392 Act of Praemunire [promotion of undue foreign influence], and an Act of Treason under the 1559 Act of Supremacy and the 1688/9 Declaration and Bill of Rights... cabinet ministers (including the Prime Minister) appear to be in breach of their Privy Council Oaths and by their actions have placed Her Majesty the Queen in breach of her Coronation Oath (to govern us according to our laws and customs – not those of a foreign power)...' (Peter H Rogers, Appendix II)

Why would a British Prime Minister give away his power? The person to answer that question is Steve Hilton, former special adviser in No 10 Downing Street and David Cameron's long-time strategy guru, who masterminded Cameron's 2005 bid to lead the Tory party.

Hilton was at the heart of government, made a special study of the influence of an 'arrogant' and 'grotesquely unaccountable' EU, which as Hilton sees it has been corruptly captured by a self-serving elite unduly influenced by big business and which is 'anti-market, innovation stifling'. He is now implacably opposed to the EU, as rendering Britain 'literally un-governable' (Appendix III, final link). Hilton rubbishes the REMAIN case that leaving the EU will make Britain less secure and marks the economic arguments as 'no one really knows': 'The real choice is not economic security or economic risk, but what kind of government will equip us best to cope with a risky, fast-changing world?'

He regards the EU as unreformable ('You might as well hope for Vladimir Putin to embrace liberal democracy') and writes that the EU has '...become so complicated, so secretive, so impenetrable that it's way beyond the ability of any British government to make it work to our advantage...'. He accuses the EU of 'statism, corporatism and bureaucracy'.

On asking for a detailed audit during his time at the centre of power, Hilton found that only 30% of the business of Westminster and Whitehall was about the programme of the government of the day. The rest 'was generated from within the civil service machine, the majority coming from the EU'.

'The European Union is anti-trade, locking developing countries out of world markets with its evil Common Agricultural Policy that feather-beds French farmers while keeping African farmers trapped in poverty – and despair. And I don't think even the EU's most fervent supporters would ever claim that it 'puts power in people's hands.' (Steve Hilton, Appendix III, final link)

Hilton claims that Mr Cameron would vote LEAVE now were he not PM.

'He said that Mr Cameron – who came to power in 2010 saying he wanted to give power away – and [Chancellor] Mr Osborne are now "part of the technocratic elite" '. (<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/05/26/david-camerons-former-strategy-guru-claims-he-would-back-brexite/>)

In the context of the EU, and in the words of the present author, Mr Cameron has 'gone native' in the Euro-Establishment, against the interests of the People of Britain. Hilton warns that an emboldened EU will punish the UK if we vote to remain.

'The one thing we can be certain of – because it's based not on speculation or scaremongering but on what has happened in the past – is that the EU will only ever move in one direction: more centralisation, more bureaucracy, more power shifting further from people's hands.

From that clarity should come an informed decision to leave. To regain control over our country's destiny...' (Steve Hilton, Appendix III, final link)

Steve Hilton confirms that the EU is an inappropriate vehicle for internationalist ideals:

‘A decision to leave the EU is not without risk. But I believe it is the ideal and idealistic choice for our times: taking back power from arrogant, unaccountable, hubristic elites and putting it where it belongs – in people’s hands.’
(Steve Hilton, Appendix III, final link)

David Cameron has been a ruthless cheat in pursuit of treachery, deploying the state’s resources in an unprincipled attempt to secure victory and his nation’s defeat, while using his authority to put the frighteners on the British people. If REMAIN wins on 23rd June 2016, Britain will have been lost to treachery. British freedom is at stake, as enshrined in the Constitution and buttressed by the common law. Be prepared to give up what myriad generations of Britons have built up. What has been characterised as REMAIN’s Project Fear is really Project Treason. Given the chance, Cameron will put the blight into Old Blighty.

Britain has more than its fair share of false friends overseas, urging us to stay in. The Americans would rather we were a Euro poodle than a British bulldog, though presidential candidate Donald Trump is an exception to that demeaning view. Thank you, Mr Trump.

A particular challenge is geography. Europe is adjacent to the Middle East and Africa, sources of migrants and mayhem both, while abutting prickly Russia to the east. For Britain to treat Europe as a buffer zone is eminently sensible; for us to offer ourselves up as a seamless part of this zone of vulnerability and potential horror is demented and unavoidable if we remain in the EU. And far from guaranteeing peace the EU has destroyed it by wooing Ukraine, part of Russia’s ‘Near Abroad’, while declaring its intention to have an EU army. Those not wishing to participate in an EU-generated World War III should consider voting LEAVE. Another clear problem of the Continent is incontinence, across our borders. With global population heading towards 10 billion people by 2050 and ever-multiplying modes of mischief, we should make the old-fashioned most of the English Channel, Shakespeare’s ‘moat defensive’ – while using it as a route to the world.

To vote REMAIN in June 2016 is to cast aside your bow as one of the Band of Brothers before the fight at Agincourt, decline as a Jolly Jack Tar to fire your cannon at Trafalgar, discard as a Tommy your unfired Lee Enfield rifle into the mud of the Great War and spurn your Spitfire as one of the Few in the Battle of Britain.

Called to mind is the classic statement of British mateship, that you would be proud to fight alongside someone in the trenches. REMAINERS should review their ‘trench worthiness’.

The REMAINERS are right, though, to insist that an IN vote is more predictable than an OUT vote. We were stitched up on the way in and we have been ripped off for 40 years so far and will be ripped off endlessly hereafter. The more we prosper the more we’ll pay. No end is in sight of the financial pain. To allude to the REMAIN campaign group Britain Stronger in Europe: at the Stronger Inn it is always Britain’s round. And this is not to mention our ceding control of our affairs to (undemocratic) Brussels directly and Berlin (and Paris a little) indirectly and being subjected to inundating waves of immigrants. Are REMAINERS masochists?



As for the LEAVE option, the mainland Europeans will aim to be revenged upon us, be absolutely assured¹. That is the kind of odious club we are in. But if it comes to a trade scrap, the pain of not buying German cars and French wines and of not providing the EU with the single largest market for its goods will surely pass. We might even be tempted to consume our own products, in what will enter our annals as an inspiring struggle to liberate ourselves from foreign oppression. Far more likely there will be little disruption to trade at all.

Instead of the Appeasers of the 1930s we have the Delusionists of now, duped by REMAIN's traitorous leaders. Whether they are visionaries in the grip of a Euro-progressivism that is really retrogressively illiberal or pragmatists worried about jobs and trade, REMAINERS will vote away their freedom and that of LEAVERS and put us all under the sway of foreigners.

Hitler is said to have underestimated Britain's will to fight on the basis of a pacifist resolution passed at an Oxford Union debate.

LEAVERS should not fall into the same error. The doormat tendency and a treacherous Establishment are relatively recent phenomena in historical terms, for a nation with an outstandingly proud story¹⁰ and captivating prospects as a country unshackled.

Are the People going to bend the knee and vote REMAIN, as demanded by their rulers?

No.

I have not had to fight for my country, but in this essay I have written for it.

Dr John Hart is the Director of The National CV Group.
Email: info@thenationalcv.org.uk

**Give them the
Agincourt gesture**



Vote LEAVE



Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to Nigel Moore (<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/more.html>, More 29) for creative joint venturing on the illustrations and provision of Appendix III. Peter H Rogers of The British Gazette (<http://www.british-gazette.co.uk/>) is thanked for Appendix II.

Notes

1. 'Brussels...a tumour'

Try: *Brexit the Movie*, free online at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UTMxfAkxfQ0>

There is a relishable moment in this crowdfunded film when an ex-editor of a tabloid newspaper throws a V and blows a raspberry at the Establishment.

Here are some quotations from Jean-Claude Juncker, President of the EU Commission:

"If it's a Yes, we will say 'on we go', and if it's a No we will say 'we continue'." (2005, on French referendum)

"Britain is different. Of course there will be transfers of sovereignty. But would I be intelligent to draw the attention of public opinion to this fact?" (2007)

"I'm ready to be insulted as being insufficiently democratic, but I want to be serious [...] I am for secret, dark debates." (2011)

"We decide on something, leave it lying around and wait and see what happens. If no one kicks up a fuss, because most people don't understand what has been decided, we continue step by step until there is no turning back." (2012)

"When it becomes serious, you have to lie." (2013)

"There can be no democratic choice against the European treaties." (2015)

Source: Wikiquotes

The items above indicate the unsavoury character of the (unelected) head of the (unelected) EU Commission and the item below the kind of nasty protectionist racket of which Britain would be cravenly ignoble to remain a part.

May 21 2016, The Times:

'Leave EU and we'll make your lives a misery: Juncker's warning to Britain

The president of the European Commission told Le Monde that Britain after Brexit would not "be handled gently" MARKUS SCHREIBER/ASSOCIATED PRESS

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

Britain would be shut out by the rest of the European Union and treated like deserters if the country voted to leave next month, Jean Claude Juncker suggested yesterday.

The president of the European Commission broke his promise to David Cameron not to intervene in the referendum and told *Le Monde* that Britain after Brexit would not "be handled gently".

He also urged France to revive demands for deeper EU integration to counter British Euroscepticism in an intervention that is unlikely to be welcomed in No 10.

"Deserters will not be welcomed with open arms," he told the French newspaper.'

For more disturbing quotations from Eurocrats, within a telling exposition of the Brexit case from an appalled insider, try *Why Vote Leave* (2016) by Daniel Hannan MEP. Note that only 3.6% of Eurocrats are British, while Britain provides >10% of the EU's population and is one of only two significant net contributors to the EU's budget, along with Germany. Note also that we have only 73 MEPs out of 751 (i.e. 9.7% of the total).



2. 'Traitor Heath' & other treachery points in the text:

Peter Osborne & Frances Weaver, *Guilty Men*, Centre for Policy Studies, 2011. Free online at: <http://www.cps.org.uk/publications/reports/q/id-8/>

'Prime Minister Edward Heath notoriously stated in a TV broadcast in 1973 that "there are some in this country who fear that in going into Europe we shall in some way sacrifice independence and sovereignty. These fears, I need hardly say, are completely unjustified.' (p61)

Peter Jay's Foreword to *Guilty Men* describes

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

'...Edward Heath's endless promises to Parliament that joining the EEC would never jeopardise British independence.'

More material from Jay's Foreword is to be found on page 11. It describes how the EU was *not* developed to combat the evils of nationalism, as it has come to say of itself, but out of old-fashioned power-grabbing meganationalist thinking, making it entirely inappropriate as an embodiment of internationalist aspirations for the people of Europe.

Also: <http://www.eutruth.org.uk/traitors.html>

3. 'Founding Fixers/CIA'

Try: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2016/04/27/the-european-union-always-was-a-cia-project-as-brexiteers-discov/>

4. 'Jeremy 'Turncoat' Corbyn'

'The [EU] project has always been to create a huge free-market Europe, with ever-limiting powers for national parliaments and an increasingly powerful common foreign and security policy.' (Deleted from his blog, March 2016.)

Also: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/Jeremy_Corbyn/12184405/Jeremy-Corbyn-accused-of-rewriting-history-after-deleting-hundreds-of-outspoken-articles-and-speeches-from-his-website.html

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-eu-referendum-35743994>

<http://labourlist.org/2009/10/theres-no-place-for-blair-as-european-president/>

5. 'Norway does not pay to access the EU's Single Market, not a single penny.'

Source: Helle Hagenau, Norwegian 'No to the EU' Movement, speaking in London on 14th May 2016 at a Public Meeting and Referendum Rally held by The Campaign for an Independent Britain (<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/>).

6. '...the referendum vote is about the nobility of self-rule...'

See a previous article by the present author, 'Britain's 2016 EU referendum is about the death or life of noble self-rule' (<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/more.html>, More 30).

7. 'Britons will be better off outside the EU than in.'

Try: <http://www.economistsforbrexit.co.uk/>

Also: <http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/more.html>, More 29, 'Britain will thrive outside the European Union'.

Also: <http://www.morningadvertiser.co.uk/Operators/JD-Wetherspoon/Tim-Martin-Remain-campaign-shows-contempt-for-British-public>

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

Aesop's fable of the Wolf and the House Dog can be recommended:
<http://mythfolklore.net/aesopica/milowinter/105.htm>

8. 'Black Traitor'

<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/rulersbc.html>, 'Julius Caesar'

9. '...the British resistance leader, Caractacus.'

<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/rulersad.html>, 'Caractacus'. (Note that the creator of this entry in The National CVpedia of Britain could not bear to mention the name of the traitress who betrayed noble Caractacus.)

10. '...a nation with an outstandingly proud story...'

Try: The National CV of Britain (<http://www.thenationalcv.org.uk/>; click the red button on the home page, '2016 CV' or select 'CV' on the menu).

Robin Hood & Merry Men (pre-EU)



AFTERWORD

'Britain has a cabin on the Bad Ship *Europe*. Their cabin is not a great place to be and it is expensive. The French have a more capacious berth in a better position, which costs them nothing. The Germans have an even bigger suite, which costs them even more than Britain's, but comes with the additional advantage that they have control of the bridge, which is known as Brussels. The crew are called Eurocrats. They are exceptionally well paid, have lots of holidays and perks and have jobs for life. They listen to the British hardly at all, the French quite a bit and the Germans a lot. Bad Ship *Europe* is beset by problems – migrants clambering aboard, terrorists roving around the decks diabolically, criminal networks, waste, profligacy, corruption, bad accounting, threatened Member State bankruptcies, euro currency shocks, maddening red tape, referendums that go the 'wrong' way, horrendous youth unemployment, a fundamentally undemocratic system and heaven knows what other horrors – but paradoxically problems of almost any kind are greeted with joy by the Eurocrats, as providing the excuse for 'more Europe'. So they tear down the cabin walls and mix everybody up, billing the British for it along the way. But the sanest among the British have a cunning plan: alongside Bad Ship *Europe* a lifeboat called *Brexit* bobs in the water. If it is not scuppered by their own treacherous leaders, who seem perennially deaf to bad news on board and likewise deaf to the interests of their fellow Britons, perhaps eying up Eurocrat jobs for themselves in the future, the British will be able to make good their escape to the sanctuary of their ancient island home.' JH

Daleks in the EU say



**STAY! PAY!
OBEY!**

Vote LEAVE



Appendix I: Further excerpts from Peter Jay's Foreword to *Guilty Men* (see Note 2 above)

Jay describes how the 'dangerous nationalism' justification for the existence and direction of the EU was a retro-fitted explanation. The architect of the EU was French technocrat Jean Monet (1888-1979). Monet's desire was to see France after the Second World War take its place once more at the top table of nations. His vehicle for this was to be a new country called 'Europe'.

'This could only be achieved gradually and by indirection, as he [Monet] said [in a young Peter Jay's hearing at a private dinner in 1952 in Paris], "by zig and by zag"...a new focus of national unity..."Europe" (whether or not Greater France would have been a more candid name). It was Bonapartist, even if with a twentieth century face.'

Jay describes this vision as 'reactionary and dangerous'. It turned him into a Eurosceptic.

'The groups who have most particularly betrayed Britain's independence and support for a multinational shared management of our real global problems in favour of merging Britain into an old-fashioned power-seeking country called "Europe" have been mainly motivated by muddled thinking and immature sentiment. The number of people in their youth just thought of Europe as a nice place for culture, sunshine, wine and skiing and made this the foundation of their view of the political and economic architecture being imposed on the UK is pathetic and shocking.

..."Europe"...smacks much more of the long European tradition of nationalism, protectionism and power-politics designed to promote the ascendancy of the chosen nation...[British commentators] naively swallowed the childish sleight of hand that made "Europe" an international cause, to be contrasted with "little England" scepticism, simply because Europe was "abroad" however much its architects were in the business of building a mega-nation and however much the sceptics were in the business of making a multinational world. [Those same British commentators did not see] that it was the very dysfunctionality of the Euro which was its chief attraction to the Bonapartists. They could safely rely upon it to cause the periodic acute crises which then supplied the political context for the next great leap forward in Eurocentralisation, edging ever closer to one country, be it Third Empire or Fourth Reich, enshrining Monnet's City on the hill from which French diplomats could go forth with German cheque books in their baggage to strut their hour upon the world stage.'


Let's have that phrase of Peter Jay's again in regard to "Europe":

'...be it Third Empire or Fourth Reich...'

Appendix II: Treason (courtesy of Peter H Rogers)

Source: <http://www.british-gazette.co.uk/2016/04/13/>

EU propaganda? What should I do? Answer: Send it to your Chief Constable. It is evidence of TREASON!



y, your Editor has received the leaflet organised by the Chameleon [Prime Minister David Cameron]. Since this is evidence of TREASON I have done what every LAW ABIDING citizen should do. Report it to the police!

Herewith the letter that has been sent. Please feel free to copy and use the text yourselves:

The Chief Constable

Devon & Cornwall Police

Police Headquarters

Middlemoor

Devon

EX2 7HQ

Date: 13th April, 2016.

Dear Sir,

Re: Reporting a serious criminal offence.

As a law abiding citizen, I am doing what I am sure you would expect of me by reporting to you (or one of your officers) any instance where it appears a criminal offence has taken place.

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

I have recently received through the post a document which I enclose. It purports to indicate that Her Majesty's government asks that I vote in favour of the UK remaining in the EU on Thursday 23rd June 2016.

The Loss of Sovereignty suffered by the UK by its membership of the EU is clearly an act of Treason because the British Constitution allows no surrender of any part of our Constitution to a foreign power beyond the control of the Queen in parliament. The ruling given to King Edward 3rd in 1366 in which he was told that King John's action in surrendering England to the Pope, and ruling England as a Vassal King to Rome was illegal because England did not belong to John he only held it in trust for those who followed on. The Money the Pope was demanding as tribute was not to be paid. Because England's Kings were not vassal Kings to the Pope and the money was not owed. The Crown has been called on to transfer part of its treaty-making power to those organs of the EU.

The British Constitution confers treaty making powers only on the Sovereign and the Sovereign cannot transfer those powers to a foreign power or even our own parliament because they are not the incumbent Sovereigns to give away as they only hold those powers in trust for those who follow on.

Our courts of law have sacrificed their independence by becoming subordinate to the European Court of Justice.

It is a Praemunire to allow any case to be taken to a foreign court not under the control of the Sovereign. The European Court Justice or the European court of Human rights are foreign courts not under the control of our Sovereign. Praemunire is a crime akin to Treason.

Then we come to the position of Parliament: It is a Praemunire to allow any laws or regulations not made by the Sovereign in parliament to take effect as law in England. This is illegal under the Acts of Treason 1351, the Act of Praemunire 1392, The Act of Supremacy 1559, and the Declaration and Bill of Rights 1688/9.

Were Parliament to seek to legislate ad hoc on each occasion that the European Council makes regulations requiring action by us, the difficulty is that, since Parliament can bind neither itself nor its successors, compliance with such obligations under the Treaty by Parliament abandoning its right of passing independent judgement on the legislative proposals put before it. A parallel is the constitutional convention when Parliament passed the British North American Bills without question at the request of the Parliament of Canada, in this respect Parliament here had substance, if not in form, abdicated its sovereign position, and it had had pro tanto, to do the same for the EU.

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

No such power exists for parliament to have done this. This is an Act of Treason under the 1351 Treason Act, A Praemunire under the 1392 Act of Praemunire, an Act of Treason under the 1559 Act of Supremacy and the 1688/9 Declaration and Bill of Rights.

Because of this, and that cabinet ministers (including the Prime Minister) appear to be in breach of their Privy Council Oaths and by their actions have placed Her Majesty the Queen in breach of her Coronation Oath (to govern us according to our laws and customs – not those of a foreign power) I hereby report my suspicions to you together with the evidence that has dropped through my letter box and trust that you will take the necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Peter H. Rogers

Thomas and the EU Gravy Train



Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

Appendix III: 'The Surprising Truth – Internet Search Results for the EU' (courtesy of Nigel Moore)

'Research and analysis of the results can reveal something of the largely unknown side of the EU. Often there are really worrying implications for us and our nation. Surprising and hugely embarrassing for Europhiles working, sometimes covertly, to keep our country part of their failing Superstate dream. The following is just a recent selection which you can easily expand through your own investigations (please note some hyperlinks may need to be entered manually).' NM

The EU exists only to create a Superstate –

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/05/02/the-eu-exists-only-to-become-a-superstate-britain-has-no-place-i/>

<http://www.eureferendum.com/documents/greatdeception.pdf>

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/columnists/christopherbooker/6521187/The-end-of-the-great-deception.html>

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/04/07/the-eu-has-revealed-its-true-nature-a-federalist-monster-that-wi/>

The Exports to the EU – 35% of total UK exports and falling –

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/trade-helpful-information/>

The secretly negotiated EU/US Trade Deal (TTIP) threatens UK Democracy –

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2016/05/04/let-the-ttip-trade-pact-die-if-it-threatens-parliamentary-democr/>

The US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) covertly helped establish the EU –

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/tag/cia/>

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2016/04/27/the-european-union-always-was-a-cia-project-as-brexiteers-discov/>

The US Central Intelligence Agency covertly helped fix the 1975 Referendum

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/the-cia-agents-with-a-conscience/>

<https://euobserver.com/news/3182>

Search Google under Campaign for an Independent Britain secret CIA involvement in EU

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

MI6 covertly helped fix UK joining the Common Market in 1972 –

http://www.cambridgeclarion.org/press_cuttings/mi6.eu_stel_27apr1997.html

UK Prime Ministers lied on the loss of UK sovereignty in joining EU -

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/britain-europe-bruges-group/>

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/research-paper-1079-letter-edward-heath-lord-kilmuir-december-1960/>

Search Google under Campaign for an Independent Britain sovereignty and Ted Heath

EU Policy is to punish one state (crippling taxes etc.) to send a message to other member states –

<http://eureferendum.com/blogview.aspx?blogno=86045>

The EU's Funding (liabilities) Gap – 350 Billion Euros and increasing – we'll have to pay our share

<http://eureferendum.com/blogview.aspx?blogno=86016>

<http://eureferendum.com/blogview.aspx?blogno=85332>

Legally Mr Cameron's EU Deal isn't worth the paper it's written on –

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3447885/The-European-Parliament-change-David-Cameron-s-EU-deal-Britain-historic-referendum-stay-In.html>

<http://www.cityam.com/234652/david-camersons-eu-deal-is-in-legal-terms-not-worth-the-paper-its-printed-on>

<http://eureferendum.com/blogview.aspx?blogno=85971>

<http://www.eureferendum.com/blogview.aspx?blogno=85917>

30000 EU nationals arrested in London in a single year

<http://www.londonthenews.com/news/Front+Page/20160502/81196555/Over-30-000-Europeans-are-arrested-in-London.htm>

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3570228/More-30-000-Europeans-year-arrested-London-Brexit-campaigners-say-staying-EU-pressure-prisons.html?ITO=1490&ns_mchannel=rss&ns_campaign=1490

Risk management shows it is far more risky to stay in the EU than to Leave –

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/eu-risk-wellbeing-pocket/>

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/leaving-eu-safe-remaining-isnt/>

UK is becoming an increasingly Low Wage Economy Inside the EU -

<http://campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk/uks-low-wage-future-inside-eu/>

The EU has a Propaganda Arm (which often targets children) –

<http://www.breitbart.com/london/2015/10/01/yes-there-is-eu-propaganda-in-schools-and-its-worse-than-you-think/>

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2088404/Revealed-How-children-brainwashed-European-propaganda-handed-EU-staff-education-fairs.html>

https://www.morningstaronline.co.uk/a-0275-Stoddart-slams-EU-propaganda-in-schools-1-2#.VyxD4_krJaQ

30,000 Lobbyists for Big Corporates influence 75% of EU Legislation –

<http://www.transparencyinternational.eu/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/23-06-2015-EU-Integrity-Watch-Launch-Press-Pack.pdf>

<http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/may/08/lobbyists-european-parliament-brussels-corporate>

The EU mis-spends taxpayers money and has poor accounting controls –

<http://www.eca.europa.eu/en/euauditinbrief-2014/Pages/euauditinbriefdefault.aspx>

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/eu/10426971/British-taxpayers-liable-for-800m-of-misspent-EU-funds.html>

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/eu/10614864/EU-corruption-costing-economy-100bn-a-year.html>

(The European Court of Auditors work is limited for this complex and shadowy subject – irregularities are estimated at 5.5% of EU budget, waste and misconceived spending are extras)

David Cameron is bored by the EU and changing it – it is all a sham

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/12199759/The-IDS-explosion-could-do-untold-damage-to-David-Camersons-reputation.html>

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3593784/David-Cameron-s-EU-sham-exposed-Leaked-letter-reveals-PM-hatched-anti-Brexit-plot-telling-voters-campaign-leave.html>

Jeremy Corbyn (Labour Party Leader) supports Turkey joining the EU with open door migration -

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/05/05/jeremy-corbyn-forced-to-abandon-speech-calling-for-turkey-to-join/>

Donald Trump (US Republican presidential candidate) backs Brexit –

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/05/05/donald-trump-backs-brexit-britain-would-be-better-off-outside-eu/>

The EU was part of ruling elite plan for a World Government –

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newsttopics/eureferendum/12018877/The-truth-how-a-secretive-elite-created-the-EU-to-build-a-world-government.html>

EU Welfare costs are unaffordable –

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/business/2016/03/23/europe-is-now--drowning-under-the-cost-of-welfare-bills/>

The former United Kingdom is being Balkanised and suits EU Agenda –

<http://hitchensblog.mailonsunday.co.uk/2016/05/london-is-a-republic-with-a-president-why-arent-we-more-interested-.html>

The Runaway EU Gravy Train -

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/eu/10777351/MEPs-second-pension-gravy-train-pays-and-perks-of-MEPs.html>

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2554353/All-aboard-EU-gravy-train-Eye-masks-blankets-Eurocrats-monthly-trip-Strasbourg-costs-150m-year.html>

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3493758/EU-gravy-train-exposed-17m-taxpayers-cash-spent-jollies-Europe-Belgian-beer-Christmas-markets-complain-cuts-coffee-budget-damaging-work.html>

<http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/570910/Brussels-taxpayers-1-BILLION-bill-expand-bloated-EU-gravy-train>

<http://www.thesun.co.uk/sol/homepage/news/2448397/Kinnocks-make-fortune-from-Europe-gravy-train.html>

Coming soon – Some more irritating/unnecessary EU rules –

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/05/10/eu-to-launch-kettle-and-toaster-crackdown-after-brexit-vote2/>

Workers' rights under threat from the EU -

<http://tuaeu.co.uk/>

Freely available for publication or dissemination, in whole or part, with due attribution to 'Dr John Hart, author of The National CV of Britain'.

Cameron's most trusted (and best) adviser backs Brexit

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3603793/Why-quit-EU-Cameron-s-guru-Friend-strategist-Steve-Hilton-breaks-ranks-Brexit-say-Britain-literally-ungovernable-unless-power-self-serving-elite.html>

Great 'first look' sources of well researched and analysed information

The Leave Alliance and affiliates including:

Campaign for an Independent Britain

The Bruges Group

EUReferendum.com

Born free? No, we're in the EU



Vote LEAVE



True Brits



Your Country Needs YOU

www.thenationalcv.org.uk

Vote LEAVE

